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1. INTRODUCTION

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) indices are considered as one of the main information sources used in fish stock assessment models (Zou et al., 2019). There are many ways for the standardization of these indices:

• Generalized linear models (GLMs)
• Generalized additive models (GAMs)
• Geostatistical models.

2. OBJECTIVE

• To evaluate the accuracy and uncertainty associated with CPUE indices derived from datasets with different spatial information.
• How? With the standardization of CPUE indices using geostatistical models in different sampling scenarios, comparing them with GLMs and GAMs models.

3. PLANNING

This poster is part of an ongoing project and in order to achieve the objective (step 5), there will be five steps. Here, we present the results of the step 1 and some hints of step 2.

4. SIMULATED DATA

We have performed the simulation of three different sampling scenarios for model fitting using R statistical software. Scenario 1 represents a georeferenced oceanographic survey, while scenarios 2 and 3 represent trawl fisheries, with georeferenced and lattice data, respectively.

5. FITTING MODELS

We propose the use of R-INLA package (https://www.r-inla.org), in order to run several Bayesian models: GLM, GAM and spatio-temporal models for each of the different simulated scenarios. The GLM and GAM do not consider the spatial term. The results of the model fitting will provide us the standardization of CPUE.

6. CONCLUSIONS

• In this work, we have introduced a methodology to assess the accuracy of the relative biomass indexes.
• We have developed three simulations of different sampling scenarios.
• We propose different Bayesian statistical models for the different scenarios.
• It is important to review which models provide a more precise estimate of the relative biomass indices.
• Our future research will continue with the planning until step 5 is achieved.
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